



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,108	12/15/2003	Ashok C. Khandkar	43662-Amedica	5506
7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
KELLY BAUERSFELD LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP			BLANCO, JAVIER G	
Stuart O. Lowr	у	·		
Suite 1650	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6320 Canoga Avenue			3738	
Woodland Hills, CA 91367			DATE MAN ED 03/10/2005	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/737,108	KHANDKAR ET AL.	6
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Javier G. Blanco	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 /	November 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6 and 17-41 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-16 and 42-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 November 2004 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ object	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, , , , , ,	·).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		eatent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/737,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment of claims 1-3, 7-9, 16, 42-44, and 53 in the reply filed on November 22, 2004 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The amendments to the drawings (Figures 1-3, 7-9, 10-12, 16-18, 20, and 21) were received on November 22, 2004.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 52 is objected to because of the following informality: please delete "part-cylindrical" (see lines 1-2). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 42-45, 48, 49, and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ferree et al. (US 2004/0024462 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,108

Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Referring to Figures 1A-1C and 2A-2b, Ferree et al. disclose a disc implant comprising (i) a pair of end plates (saddle-shaped components) for affixation to adjacent vertebral bodies; and (ii) a pair of bearing components formed respectively on said end plates and respectively defining a pair of elongated bearing surfaces (saddle-shaped articulating surfaces) extending generally on orthogonal axes relative to each other. See anterior (Figure 1A), lateral (Figure 1B), and oblique (Figure 1C) views. Each of said bearing surfaces defining opposite end segments of generally convex part-circular cross sectional shape separated by a central segment defining a generally concave bearing seat, wherein each of said concave bearing seats being further defined by a generally part-circular cross sectional shape defined by laterally spaced-apart offset radii to include a generally flattened base segment (see Figure 2B: central shaded area; see page 1, paragraph 0023) interposed between a pair of curved sides (see Figures 2A and 2B). Each of said saddle-shaped components is made of chrome cobalt, titanium, ceramic, metal(s), alloys, or other materials (see page 1, paragraph 0008). Further, each of said saddle-shaped components can be treated to promote bone ingrowth (see page 1, paragraph 0009) and can include projections such as keels (see Figures; see page 1, paragraph 0009).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,108

Art Unit: 3738

7. Claims 10, 11, 46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferree et al. (US 2004/0024462 A1) in view of Eisermann et al. (US 6,740,118 B2).

Ferree et al. disclose the invention as claimed (see 102 rejection above) except for particularly disclosing the upper and lower plate as having a lordotic taper. However, this is well known in the art. For example, Eisermann et al. disclose a disc implant comprising upper and lower plates (bearing surfaces 54) having a lordotic taper (see, for example, Figure 4) in order to accommodate the particular lordotic angle or morphology of the portion of the spinal column in which the disc implant is used (see column 6, lines 3-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of a disc implant comprising upper and lower plates each one having a lordotic taper, as taught by Eisermann et al., with the disc implant of Ferree et al., in order to accommodate the particular lordotic angle or morphology of the portion of the spinal column in which the disc implant is used.

8. Claims 14 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferree et al. (US 2004/0024462 A1) in view of Eisermann et al. (US 6,740,118 B2).

Ferree et al. disclose the invention as claimed (see 102 rejection above). Although Ferree et al. disclose the upper and lower plates as treated to promote bone ingrowth (see page 1, paragraph 0009), they did not particularly disclose those plates as having a generally convex shape for engagement with and affixation to adjacent vertebral bodies. However, this is well known in the art. For example, Eisermann et al. disclose a disc implant comprising upper and lower plates each one having a roughened, curved/arcuate configuration that corresponds to the particular contour of the adjacent vertebral endplate against which plate abuts in order to inhibit

Application/Control Number: 10/737,108

Art Unit: 3738

migration of the disc implant relative to the adjacent vertebra (see column 6, lines 3-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teaching of a disc implant comprising upper and lower plates each one having a roughened, curved/arcuate configuration that corresponds to the particular contour of the adjacent vertebral endplate against which plate abuts, as taught by Eisermann et al., with the disc implant of Ferree et al., in order to inhibit migration of the disc implant relative to the adjacent vertebra.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Shelokov (US 6,039,763 A), Ferree (US 6,706,068 B2), Mitchell (US 2004/0172135 A1), and Zubok et al. (US 2004/0176845 A1).
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3738

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

This application contains claims 4-6 and 17-41 drawn to an invention nonelected without 12. traverse in the Paper filed June 1st, 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

March 4th.

Primary Examiner